



KERALA GAZETTE

കേരള ടാസ്സ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

മന്ത്രികാരിക്കാരി പ്രസിദ്ധീയമാലക്കുടുക്ക

Vol. LIV } THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY
വാല്യം 54 } തിരുവനന്തപുരം, മെരുറ്റ്

23rd June 2009
2009 ജൂൺ 23
2nd Ashadha 1931
1931 അഷ്യാദാ 2

No. } 25
നമ്പർ } 25

PART I

Notifications and Orders Issued by the] Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department

Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 264/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 24th February 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Managing Director, Kerala State Bamboo Corporation Limited, Angamaly, Ernakulam District - 683 573 and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri N. K. Vijayan, Neduveli House, Pullani, Thuravoor P. O., Angamaly, Ernakulam District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Shri N. K. Vijayan by the management of Kerala State Bamboo Corporation Limited is justifiable ?
2. If not, what relief he is entitled to get ?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 275/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 25th February 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Managing Director, S. U. T. Mother and Baby Hospital, Pattom, Thiruvananthapuram and the workman of the above referred establishment Saramma Zackaria, Venkal Thundiylil, L I C Line, Pattom Palace P. O., Thiruvananthapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Smt. Saranma Zackaria, Receptionist, S U T M & B Hospital, Pattom, Thiruvananthapuram is justifiable or not? If not, what relief she is entitled to get?

(3)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 276/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 25th February 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the President, Ernakulam Co-operative Agricultural and Rural Development Bank Limited No. E. 87, Aluva and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri V. P. Rajeev, Pisharath House (Jyothis), Kizhekk Kadungalloor, U. C. College P. O., Aluva in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the [said] industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Shri V. P. Rajeev from the service of the Ernakulam Co-operative Agricultural and Rural Development Bank Limited No. E 87, Aluva is justifiable ?
2. If not, what relief he is entitled to get ?

(4)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 278/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 25th February 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Manager, Nallathanni Estate, Munnar P. O., Idukki and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, South Indian Plantation Workers' Union (INTUC), Munnar P.O., Idukki in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication :

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Shri Manikandan, P. F. No. 5417 by the management of Nallathanni Estate is justifiable or not ?
2. If not, what relief the worker is entitled to

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 279/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 25th February 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Manager, Nallathanni Estate, Munnar P. O., Idukki-685 612 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Devikulam Estate Employees Union (CITU), Munnar P. O., Idukki-685 612 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said Industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the [dismissal of Shri Ganesan P.F. No. 4874 by the management of Nallathanni Estate is justifiable or not?
2. If not, what relief the worker is entitled to?

(6)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 292/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 26th February 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Fortune Hotel South Park, M. G. Road, Thiruvananthapuram-695 034 and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri Abraham Philip, Mundakulathu Veedu, Chengannore P. O., Pin-689 591 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication :

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Shri Abraham Philip, Chief Engineer by the management of South Park is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to get ?

(7)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 507/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 31st March 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) The Managing Director, Gujarat Co-operative Milk Marketing Federation Limited, Amul Dairy Road, Anand, Gujarat State-388 001, (2) The Depot in Charge

Gujarat Co-operative Milk Marketing Federation Limited, Alaparth Square, First Floor, Kundayithodu, Kolathara P. O., Kozhikode Pin-673 655 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Mercantile Employees Association, H. No. 5/2183, Indira Gandhi Road, Kozhikode-673 001 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the action of reverting of Shri P. O. Joy, Junior Executive to the post of Assistant by the Management of Gujarat Co-operative Milk Marketing Federation Limited is justifiable ? If not what relief he is entitled to ?
2. Whether the action of the Management of Gujarat Co-operative Milk Marketing Federation Limited in transferring Shri P. O. Joy, employee from Calicut to Port Blair is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to ?

(8)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 655/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 13th May 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Manager (Administration & Corporate Affairs), S. D. F. Industries Limited, Pampady, Thiruvilwamala, Thrissur and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri T. K. Narayanan, Brindavan, Pampady West P. O., Thiruvilwamala, Thrissur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment of Shri T. K. Narayanan w. e. f. 1-9-2005 and subsequent dismissal from 25-8-2006 by the management of S. D. F. Industries Limited, is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to ?

(9)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 668/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th May 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Rajan, Managing Director, Cheran Automobiles, Niramankara, Thiruvananthapuram and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri U. K. Satheeshkumar, Sreekrishna, NRA-219, TC 52/2034/2, Pulhivila Mele Puthen Veedu, Nemom P. O., Thiruvananthapuram-20 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Kollam. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri U. K. Satheeshkumar from Cheran Automobiles, Niramankara, Pappanamcode P. O., Thiruvananthapuram-18 is justifiable or not ? If so what relief the workman is entitled to get ?

(10)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 669/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th May 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between, 1. Most Rev. Dr. Stanely Roman, President Bishop Benziger Hospital, Beach Road, Kollam, Pin-691 001, 2. Very Rev. Msgr. Ferdinand Peter, Director, Bishop Benziger Hospital, Kollam and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri P. Michael, Midhuncy House, Mangadu P. O., Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Shri P. Michael9 Plumbing Worker with effect from 17-1-2000 by the management of Bishop Benzige, Hospital, Kollam is justifiable ? If not, what reliefs the worker is entitled to get ?

(11)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 670/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th May 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. Smt. K. Vasanthakumary, Managing Director, Ananthapuri Polymers Private Limited, Pongumoodu Koova assery P. O., Thiruvananthapuram, 2. Shri S. Raveendran Nair, Director, Aananthapuri, Polymers Private Limited, Pongumoodu, Koovalassery P. O., Thiruvananthapuram and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri A. Ayyappan Pillai, T. C. 39/801(2), Panduranga Bhavan, Chalai, Thiruvananthapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of employment to Shri. A. Ayyappan Pillai, Accountant by the Management of Ananthapuri Polymers Private Limited is justifiable or not ?
2. If not what relief the is entitled to ?

(12)

G.O.(Rt.) No. 679/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 18th May 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Devaswom Manager, Thrikkonnamarkode Devaswom, Thalavoor P. O., Kunnikkode via., Kollam District and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri Jayadevan Unni V. K., Lakshmi Bhavan, Nadathery, Thalavoor, Kunnikkode via., Kollam District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said Industrial Dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri Jayadevan Unni, V. K., Kazhakam of Thrikkonnamarkode Devaswom Temple is justifiable or not ? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to ?

By order of the Governor,
G. SIVAPRASAD,
Under Secretary to Government.